

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.unpto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/717,437	11/19/2003	Stephen A. Boppart	2895/136	6997
2101 7590 0508/2009 BROMBERG & SUNSTEIN LLP 125 SUMMER STREET			EXAMINER	
			LAMPRECHT, JOEL	
BOSTON, MA 02110-1618			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3737	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/08/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/717.437 BOPPART ET AL. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit 3737 JOEL M. LAMPRECHT All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) JOEL M. LAMPRECHT. (2) Samuel J. Petuchowski. (4)____. Date of Interview: 21 April 2009. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal (copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If Yes, brief description: _____. Claim(s) discussed: 1. Identification of prior art discussed: N/A. Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments; Applicant phoned citing that the references currently applied as prior art do not disclose particular elements of claim 1; those being a correlation of a probe beam, generated through a non-linear process that shares coherence with a beam scattered from a sample. Applicant and Examiner discussed possible amendments that could be made to further define the invention over prior art, but no specific wording was agreed upon at this time . (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/BRIAN CASLER/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3737
U.S. Patent and Tindernak Office